arXiv:1404.0110v1 [math.CO] 1 Apr 2014

Intersecting families of extended balls in the Hamming spaces

Anderson N. Martinhão* †and Emerson L. Monte Carmelo‡ §

Universidade Estadual de Maringá

Departamento de Matemática

April 2, 2014

Abstract

A family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of a set X is t-intersecting if $|A_i \cap A_j| \geq t$ for every $A_i,\ A_j \in \mathcal{F}$. We study intersecting families in the Hamming geometry. Given $X = \mathbb{F}_q^3$ a vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, consider a family where each A_i is an extended ball, that is, A_i is the union of all balls centered in the scalar multiples of a vector. The geometric behavior of extended balls is discussed. As the main result, we investigate a "large" arrangement of vectors whose extended balls are "highly intersecting". Consider the following covering problem: a subset $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ is a short covering if the union of the all extended balls centered in the elements of $\mathcal{H}$ is the whole space. As an application of this work, minimal cardinality of a short covering is improved for some instances of q.

MSC(2010): 05D05, 05B40, 11T99.

Keywords: Intersecting family, ball, Hamming distance, finite field, extremal problem.

1 Introduction

1.1 Intersecting family

A family $\mathcal{F} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ of subsets of an underlying set X is *t-intersecting* if $|A_i \cap A_j| \geq t$ for any $i \neq j$. A classical class of problems in extremal combinatorics deals with the computation of the maximum cardinality of a t-intersecting family under certain constraints. Typically, the imposed conditions are $X = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $|A_i| = k$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. The solution for $t = 1$ is called the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem [5]. The complete solution for

*The first author is supported by Capes

†E-mail: anderson.martinhao@gmail.com

‡The second author is supported in part by CNPq.

§E-mail: elmcarmelo@uem.br

arbitrary t was proved by Katona [9]. Algebraic versions of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem have been investigated for intersecting chains of boolean algebra by Erdős et al. [7], and for subspaces of a finite vector space by Czabarka [4].

On the other hand, the characterization of the extremal families was obtained by Ahlswede and Khachatrian [2] in connection with the diameter problem in Hamming spaces. Interplays between extremal combinatorics and geometry in Hamming spaces present several difficult problems (see [1, 10], for instance), some of them are motivated by applications to information theory (see [3, 8]). These contributions have investigated union or intersection of suitable arrangements of balls and their relationships with lines, hyper-planes, or other geometric configurations.

In this work, we investigate intersecting families under a new perspective: each A_i is an arrangement of balls, as described below.

1.2 Extended ball

Let $X = \mathbb{F}_q^3$ be the vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, where q denotes a prime power. Recall that the *Hamming distance* between two vectors $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is $d(u, v) = |\{i \ : \ u_i \neq v_i\}|$. The *ball of center* u and *radius* 1 is denoted by $B(u) = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 \ : \ d(u, v) \leq 1\}$.

If each A_i is a ball and $m = |\mathcal{F}|$ is "sufficiently large", then clearly there are two disjoint balls.

Consider a variant induced by a geometric change: each center u is "replaced" by a line. More precisely, given a vector u in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$, the *extended ball* (along the line induced by u) is defined as

$$E(u) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q} B(\lambda u). \tag{1}$$

The covering problem induced by extended balls in an arbitrary space $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called *short covering*, motivated by the fact that short covering might provide us a way to store non-linear codes using less memory than the classical ones. Applications to the classical numbers $K_q(n, R)$ (from covering codes) appear in [15] and some of its references. On theoretical viewpoints, results on short coverings have been obtained from distinct tools: graph theory [11], linear algebra [15], ring theory [14, 18].

In this paper we investigate how the extended balls intersect one another. However, a new obstacle arises here: the cardinality of $E(u)$ is not invariant, for example, $|E((0,0,0))| = |B((0,0,0))| = 3q - 2$ but $|E((1,1,1))| = 3q^2 - 2q$, see [12].

Consider the family $\mathcal{G} = \{E(u) : \ u \in \mathbb{F}_q^3\}$. The "bound" $|E(u) \cap E(v)| \geq |B(0)| = 3q - 2$ shows us that $\mathcal{G}$ is a trivial $3q - 2$ intersecting family. This bound can not be improved for all space, because it is sharp for the case $u = (0, 0, 1)$ and $v = (1, 2, 0)$ in $\mathbb{F}_5^3$, for instance.

1.3 The main statement

As an attempt to improve the trivial bound above, we investigate a "large" subfamily such that each pair of extended balls has intersection with "bigger size". More precisely, given a family $\mathcal{F}$, let us introduce

$$\theta(\mathcal{F}) = \max\{ \ t \ : \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is } t\text{-intersecting}\}.$$

This parameter is closely related to concepts from extremal set theory. A family $\mathcal{F}$ is a *weak Δ-system* if there is λ such that $|A_i \cap A_j| = \lambda$ for any $i \neq j$, introduced by Erdős et.al [6]. Note that $\theta(\mathcal{F}) \geq \lambda$. The *intersection structure* of a family $\mathcal{F}$ is the set

$$I(\mathcal{F}) = \{A_i \cap A_j \ : \ i \neq j\},$$

which was studied by Talbot[16], for instance. The min-max property $\theta(\mathcal{F}) = min\{|C| \ : \ C \in I(\mathcal{F})\}$ holds.

Take $\mathcal{H} = \{\widetilde{E}(u) \ : \ u \in \mathbb{F}_q^3, \ u \neq (0,0,0)\}$ as an example. What about $\theta(\mathcal{H})$? We will see that $\theta(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ as an immediate consequence of Theorem 5 . The behavior of these intersections is more curious when restricted to the following environmental

$$\mathcal{D}_q = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 \ : \ u_1, u_2, u_3 \text{ are pairwise distinct and non-zero}\}.$$

Indeed, this computation depends on the arithmetic form of q; more precisely:

Theorem 1. *Given a prime power q, let $\mathcal{E} = \{\widetilde{E}(u) \ : \ u \in \mathcal{D}_q\}$. Thus*

$$\theta(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} 2(q-1) & \text{if } q-1 \not\equiv 0 \, (mod) \, 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } q-1 \equiv 0 \, (mod) \, 3. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, Theorem 1 reveals a high degree of intersection if 3 does not divide $q-1$: $|E(u) \cap E(v)| \geq |\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| + |B(0)| \geq 5q+4$ for any u and v in $\mathcal{D}_q$.

1.4 An application to short coverings

As a complement of this work, the impact of the previous results into the short covering problem is discussed. The set $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^3$ is a *short covering of* $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ if

$$\bigcup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} E(h) = \mathbb{F}_q^3. \tag{2}$$

What is the minimum number $c(q)$ of extended balls that cover the whole space $\mathbb{F}_q^3$? The only known values are: $c(2) = 1$, $c(3) = 3$, $c(4) = 3$, and $c(5) = 4$. The best known bounds for $q \geq 7$ are described below, according to [11] and its references.

$$\left\lceil \frac{q+1}{2} \right\rceil \leq c(q) \leq \begin{cases} (q+3)/2, \text{ if } q \equiv 3 \ (mod \ 4) \\ (q+5)/2, \text{ if } q \equiv 1 \ (mod \ 4) \\ 3(q+4)/4, \text{ if } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

In particular, $4 \leq c(7) \leq 5$, $5 \leq c(8) \leq 9$, and $5 \leq c(9) \leq 7$.

Theorem 2. *The values $c(7) = 5$, $c(8) = 6$, and $c(9) = 6$ hold.*

This work is structured as follows. The geometry of the substructures $\widetilde{B}(u) = B(u) \cap \mathcal{D}_q$ and $\widetilde{E}(u) = E(u) \cap \mathcal{D}_q$ play a central role in our research. Information on these sets and their cardinalities can be derived from a group of transformations described in Section 2. In contrast to the classical ball, the cardinality of $\widetilde{E}(u)$ vanishes according to certain parameters, as stated in Theorem 5. A harder problem is studied in Section 3, namely, the intersection of extended balls restricted to the set $\mathcal{D}_q$. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 4. The lower bounds on $c(q)$ are obtained in Section 5. On the other hand, optimal upper bounds are constructed in Section 6.

2 Extended balls

2.1 Preliminaries: extended balls in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$

What kind of application preserves the cardinality of $E(u)$? In order to answer this question, we review briefly a well-known action on groups. We recommend the book [17] for further details.

Given a prime power q, L_q denotes the group of non-singular linear operators of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let L_q^3 be the direct product $L_q \oplus L_q \oplus L_q$. As usual, S_3 denotes the symmetric group of degree 3. A natural action of S_3 on the group L_q^3 is obtained by permutation of coordinate. This action induces the *wreath product* of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ by S_3,

$$S_3 \ltimes L_q^3 = \{(\varphi, \sigma) \ : \ \varphi \in S_3 \text{ and } \sigma \in L_q^3\},$$

The cardinality of $E(u)$ vanishes according to the weight of u. Recall that the *weight* of a vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ denotes the number $\omega(u) = |\{i \ : \ u_i \neq 0\}|$.

Lemma 3. *[12] If the vectors u and v are in the same orbit of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ by the action $S_3 \ltimes L_q^3$, then the cardinalities of the sets $E(u)$ and $E(v)$ are equal. In particular, $|E(u)| = |E(v)|$ whenever $\omega(u) = \omega(v)$.*

2.2 Extended balls in $\mathcal{D}_q$

This subsection provides information on the sets:

$$\widetilde{B}(u) = B(u) \cap \mathcal{D}_q \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{E}(u) = E(u) \cap \mathcal{D}_q.$$

We begin with a version of Lemma 3 for extended balls restricted to $\mathcal{D}_q$. Consider the following subgroup of L_q^3

$$K = \{(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma) \ : \ \sigma \in L_q\} = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \mapsto (\lambda u_1, \lambda u_2, \lambda u_3) \ : \ \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}.$$

The commutative property $(\varphi, \sigma) \cdot (\psi, \tau) = (\psi, \tau) \cdot (\varphi, \sigma)$ in $S_3 \ltimes K$ yields that $S_3 \ltimes K$ can be regard as the direct product $S_3 \times K$.

Lemma 4. *The standard action of $S_3 \times K$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ preserves certain cardinalities of extended balls restricted to the set $\mathcal{D}_q$:*

1. *$\widetilde{E}(u^\varphi) = (\widetilde{E}(u))^\varphi$ for any u in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ and any $\varphi \in S_3$.*
2. *$\widetilde{E}(u^\sigma) = \widetilde{E}(u)$ for any u in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ and $\sigma \in K$.*
3. *If u and v are in the same orbit of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ by the action $S_3 \times K$, then $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = |\widetilde{E}(v)|$.*

Proof. The proofs are straightforward. □

What is the cardinality of $\widetilde{E}(u)$? Of course, $\widetilde{E}(0) = \emptyset$. In order to compute $|\widetilde{E}(u)|$, we can assume that the first non-zero coordinate of u is 1, by Lemma 4. The cardinality of $\widetilde{E}(u)$ depends on the weight of $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and the parameter $\delta(u) = |\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}|$, as described in the next statement.

Theorem 5. *Let u be a vector in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$.*

1. *If $\omega(u) = 1$ and $\delta(u) = 2$, then $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = 0$.*
2. *If $\omega(u) = 2$ and $\delta(u) = 2$, then $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = 0$.*
3. *If $\omega(u) = 2$ and $\delta(u) = 3$, then $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = (q-1)(q-3)$.*
4. *If $\omega(u) = 3$ and $\delta(u) = 1$, then $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = 0$.*
5. *If $\omega(u) = 3$ and $\delta(u) = 2$, then $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = (q-1)(2q-6)$.*
6. *If $\omega(u) = 3$ and $\delta(u) = 3$, then $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = (q-1)(3q-11)$.*

Proof. **Part 1**: if $\omega(u) = 1$ and $\delta(u) = 2$. Every scalar multiple of u contains at least two 0. These multiples are not able to cover any vector in $\mathcal{D}_q$.

Part 2: if $\omega(u) = 2$ and $\delta(u) = 2$. By Lemma 4, we can assume $u = (0, 1, 1)$ without loss of generality. Suppose for a contradiction that $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \widetilde{E}(u)$, that is, there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $d((0, \lambda, \lambda), (v_1, v_2, v_3)) \leq 1$. The condition $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathcal{D}_q$ implies that $v_1 \neq 0$ and consequently $d((v_1, v_2, v_3), (0, \lambda, \lambda)) = 1$. Since the vectors (v_1, v_2, v_3) and $(0, \lambda, \lambda)$ differ in the first coordinate, the absurd $v_2 = v_3 = \lambda$ is obtained.

Part 3: if $\omega(u) = 2$ and $\delta(u) = 3$. Again by Lemma 4, we can assume $u = (0, 1, z)$, with $z \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $z \neq 1$. Let us prove first the following statement.

Claim 1: $\widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda' u) = \emptyset$ for distinct $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Indeed, if $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda' u)$, then λu and v differ in the first coordinate, and $\lambda' u$ and v differ in the first coordinate too, because $v_1 \neq 0$. Hence v assumes both forms $v = (v_1, \lambda, \lambda z)$ and $v = (v_1, \lambda', \lambda' z)$, and $\lambda = \lambda'$. This leads an absurd.

If $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \widetilde{B}(\lambda u)$, then v assumes the form $v = (v_1, \lambda, \lambda z)$, where $v_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $v_1 \neq \lambda$ and $v_1 \neq \lambda z$. Each one of these $(q-1)$ scalar multiples covers exactly $(q-3)$ vectors of $\mathcal{D}_q$. Claim 1 states that these $q-1$ sets are pairwise disjoint, hence their union yields $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = (q-1)(q-3)$.

Before proving the remaining parts, we need the following statement.

Claim 2: Let $\omega(u) = 3$. For distinct $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we claim that $B(\lambda u) \cap B(\lambda' u) = \emptyset$. In particular,

$$|\widetilde{E}(u)| = (q-1)|\widetilde{B}(u)|. \tag{4}$$

Suppose for a contradiction that $B(\lambda u) \cap B(\lambda' u) \neq \emptyset$, thus the vectors λu and $\lambda' u$ agree in at least one coordinate, say $\lambda u_i = \lambda' u_i$. Since $u_i \neq 0$, the condition $\lambda = \lambda'$ holds, which leads an absurd.

Part 4: if $\omega(u) = 3$ and $\delta(u) = 1$. Here $E(u) \cap \mathcal{D}_q = \emptyset$, because each scalar multiple of u has three coincident coordinates too. There is not a vector of $\mathcal{D}_q$ which is covered by some multiple of u.

Part 5: if $\omega(u) = 3$ and $\delta(u) = 2$. By Lemma 4, we can assume $u = (1, 1, z)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $z \neq 1$. Suppose that $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \widetilde{B}(u)$. Since v has three distinct coordinates and u has two coincident coordinates, we obtain $d(u, v) = 1$. The vector v assumes one of the forms: $(v_1, 1, z)$ or $(1, v_2, z)$. Since $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\{v_1, v_2\} \cap \{1, z\} = \emptyset$, we can choose v_1 and v_2 from $q-3$ distinct ways, thus $|\widetilde{B}(u)| = 2q-6$. Equation (4) implies $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = (q-1)(2q-6)$.

Part 6: if $\omega(u) = 3$ and $\delta(u) = 3$. We can choose $u = (1, y, z)$, where 1, $y \neq 0$, and $z \neq 0$ are pairwise distinct. Let $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ be a vector in $\widetilde{B}(u)$. If $d(u, v) = 0$ then $v = u$. If $d(u, v) = 1$, then v assumes one of the forms: (v_1, y, z), $(1, v_2, z)$, or $(1, y, v_3)$. Since $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \cap \{0, 1, y, z\} = \emptyset$, each variable v_1, v_2, and v_3 can be chosen from $q-4$ possibilities. The additive principle yields $|\widetilde{B}(u)| = 3q - 11$ and Equation (4) concludes the counting. □

3 Intersection of extended balls in $\mathcal{D}_q$

Let us now focus on the behavior of $\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)$, where u, v are arbitrary vectors in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$. Obviously $|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = 0$ whenever $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = 0$ or $|\widetilde{E}(v)| = 0$. On the other hand, if $|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| \neq 0$, Theorem 5 implies that u and v must be of two types:

- **Type I**: vector of weight two with three distinct coordinates.
- **Type II**: vector of weight three.

It is well-known that the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ and the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ are isomorphic by the relation $\overline{a} \mapsto \xi^a$, where ξ denotes an arbitrary generator of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. As usual, the class $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ is simply denoted by a, where $0 \leq a \leq q-1$ and the multiplication follows the rule $\xi^a \xi^b = \xi^{a+b} = \xi^c$, where $c = a + b$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$.

Example 6. We illustrate here that the cardinality $\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)$ can vary widely, even though u and v are vectors of the type I or II.

1. Consider the vectors $u = (1, 4, 2)$ and $v = (1, 3, 4)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_5^3$. Is is easy to see that $|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = 12$, since its intersection is formed by
$$\begin{array}{c}(1,3,2),(1,4,2),(3,4,2),(1,3,4),(2,3,4),(2,1,4),\\(3,4,1),(3,2,1),(4,2,1),(2,1,3),(4,1,3),(4,2,3)\end{array}.$$

2. The intersection $\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)$ for $u = (1, 2, 4)$ and $v = (1, 4, 2)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_5^3$ corresponds to
$$\{(1,4,3),(3,4,2),(1,3,4),(2,3,1),(3,2,4),(4,2,1),(2,1,3),(4,1,2)\}.$$
Thus $|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = 8$.

3. Take the vectors $u = (1, 0, 2)$ and $v = (1, 2, 3)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_5^3$. We have
$$\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v) = \{(1,3,2),(2,1,4),(3,4,1),(4,2,3)\}.$$

4. Let ξ be a primite element in $\mathbb{F}_4^*$. Consider the vectors $u = (1, \xi^1, \xi^2)$ and $v = (1, \xi^2, \xi^1)$ in $\mathbb{F}_4^3$. Checking case by case the intersections $\widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)$, where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_4^*$, is easy to see that $|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = 0$.

5. Another pair of vectors which satisfies $|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = 0$ is $u = (1, 2, 4)$ and $v = (1, 4, 2)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_7^3$.

3.1 Intersection of extended balls reduced to intersection of balls

Remark 7 (Intersection of balls: a characterization)**.** Let $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ be arbitrary vectors in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$. The set $B(u) \cap B(v)$ varies according to the distance of the vectors, as follows:

Case 1: if $d(u, v) = 0$. Clearly $u = v$ and $B(u) \cap B(v) = B(u)$.

Case 2: if $d(u, v) = 1$. There are three situations.

- If $u_1 \neq v_1$, then $B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(x, u_2, u_3) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$.
- If $u_2 \neq v_2$, then $B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(u_1, x, u_3) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$.
- If $u_3 \neq v_3$,then $B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(u_1, u_2, x) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$.

Case 3: if $d(u, v) = 2$. Three subcases can hold.

- If $u_1 = v_1$, then $B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(u_1, v_2, u_3), (u_1, u_2, v_3)\}$.
- If $u_2 = v_2$, then $B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(v_1, u_2, u_3), (u_1, u_2, v_3)\}$.
- If $u_3 = v_3$, then $B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(v_1, u_2, u_3), (u_1, v_2, u_3)\}$.

Case 4: if $d(u, v) = 3$, then clearly $B(u) \cap B(v) = \emptyset$.

It is a little surprising that the computation of $|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)|$ under the condition $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_q$ can be reduced to the cardinality of suitable intersections of balls. For this purpose, denote $\lambda Z = \{\lambda z \ : \ z \in Z\}$.

Lemma 8. *Let u, v be two vectors in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q$.*

1. *For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$,*
$$\widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) = \lambda[\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda^{-1}\mu v)].$$
2. *If the family $\{\widetilde{B}(\lambda u) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$ is a partition of $\widetilde{E}(u)$ and the family $\{\widetilde{B}(\lambda v) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$ is a partition of $\widetilde{E}(v)$, then*
$$|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = (q-1) \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)|.$$

Proof. **Part 1**: Note that $w \in \widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)$ if and only if there are scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and canonical vectors e_i, e_j such that $w = \lambda u + \alpha e_i$ and $w = \mu v + \beta e_j$. These equalities are equivalent to $\lambda^{-1} w = u + \lambda^{-1}\alpha e_i$ and $\lambda^{-1} w = \lambda^{-1}\mu v + \lambda^{-1}\beta e_j$, that is, $\lambda^{-1} w \in \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda^{-1}\mu v)$.

Part 2: Part 1 and the fact that $\lambda(\cup Z_i) = \cup(\lambda Z_i)$ produce

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v) &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \\
&= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \lambda[\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda^{-1}\mu v)] = \\
&= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \lambda \left[\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda^{-1}\mu v) \right] = \\
&= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \lambda \left[\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \right]. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the sets in $\{\widetilde{B}(\lambda u) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$ are pairwise disjoint, we claim that

$$\left| \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \lambda \left[\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \right] \right| = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \left| \lambda \left[\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \right] \right|. \qquad (6)$$

Indeed, if there is $x \in \lambda \left[\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \right] \cap \lambda' \left[\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \right]$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, then in particular, $x \in \widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda' u) = \emptyset$, an absurd.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$,

$$\left| \lambda \left[\bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \right] \right| = \left| \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \right| = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)|, \qquad (7)$$

since $\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v) \subset \widetilde{B}(\mu v)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and the sets in $\{\widetilde{B}(\lambda v) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$ are pairwise disjoint. From (5), (6) and (7), we conclude

$$|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)| \right) = (q-1) \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)|.$$

□

3.2 An auxiliary parameter

Definition 9. Given arbitrary vectors u and $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^3$, define

$$\rho_q(u,v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\widetilde{E}(u)| = 0 \text{ or } |\widetilde{E}(v)| = 0 \\ \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 10. *For arbitrary vectors u, v in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$,*

$$|\widetilde{E}(u) \cap \widetilde{E}(v)| = \rho_q(u,v)(q-1).$$

Proof. The statement is obvious when $|\widetilde{E}(u)| = 0$ or $|\widetilde{E}(v)| = 0$. Otherwise, $|\widetilde{E}(u)| \neq 0$, $|\widetilde{E}(v)| \neq 0$, and both vectors are of type I or II. Hence $\widetilde{B}(\lambda u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda' u) = \emptyset$ and $\widetilde{B}(\lambda v) \cap \widetilde{B}(\lambda' v) = \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, according to Claims 1 and 2 of the proof in Theorem 5. Lemma 8 concludes the statement. □

Example 11. Example 6 and Corollary 10 illustrate a few sharp values:

$$\rho_5((1,4,2),(1,3,4)) = 3, \quad \rho_5((1,2,4),(1,4,2)) = 2, \quad \rho_5((1,0,2),(1,2,3)) = 1,$$
$$\rho_4((1,\xi^1,\xi^2),(1,\xi^2,\xi^1)) = 0, \quad \rho_7((1,2,4),(1,4,2)) = 0.$$

3.3 The computation of $\rho_q(u,v)$

In this subsection we are concerned with the computation of $\rho_q(u,v)$ for arbitrary vectors $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_q$.

Example 12. Let $u = (2,0,5)$ and $v = (6,7,9)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{11}^3$. Since u is a vector of the type I and v is a vector of type II, Corollary 10 yields $\rho_{11}(u,v) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{11}^*} |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)|$. Clearly $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)| = 0$ when $d(u, \mu v) = 3$. The scalars μ which satisfy $d(u,\mu v) \leq 2$ are: 0, 3, and 4. Thus

$$\rho_{11}(u,v) = |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(0)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(3v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(4v)|.$$

A simple inspection reveals that $\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(0) = \emptyset$, $\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(3v) = \{(2,10,5)\}$, and $\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(4v) = \{(2,6,5)\}$. Therefore $\rho_{11}((2,0,5),(6,7,9)) = 2$.

The example above illustrates a curious but important fact: in order to compute $\rho_q(u,v)$, we do not have to verify $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)|$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Indeed, it is sufficient to evaluate $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)|$ for at most three instances of $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, according to the next result.

Lemma 13. *Given $u = (\xi^a, \xi^b, \xi^c)$ and $v = (\xi^d, \xi^e, \xi^f)$ in $\mathcal{D}_q$,*

$$\rho_q(u,v) = |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-d} v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-e} v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{c-f} v)|.$$

Proof. Since u and v are vectors of type II, Corollary 10 implies

$$\rho_q(u,v) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)|.$$

We analyze now the contribution of each scalar μ. For a scalar μ such that $d(u,\mu v) = 3$, Remark 7 implies $|B(u) \cap B(\mu v)| = 0$, and consequently $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\mu v)| = 0$. It remains the case where $d(u,\mu v) \leq 2$, which produces the following possibilities for μ: ξ^{a-d}, ξ^{b-e}, and ξ^{c-f}. □

Theorem 14. *Let q be a prime power and $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_q$. The following characterization holds:*

1. *$\rho_q(u,v) = 0$ if and only if $u = \lambda(1, \xi^a, \xi^b)$, $v = \mu(1, \xi^b, \xi^a)$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, where a, b are distinct, non-zero, $2a = b$, and $2b = a$.*

2. $\rho_q(u,v) \geq 2$*, otherwise.*

Proof. **Part 1**: We can assume without lost of generality that u and v have the first coordinate equal to 1, that is, $u = (1, \xi^a, \xi^b)$, $v = (1, \xi^b, \xi^a)$, where a, b are distinct, non-zero, $2a = b$ and $2b = a$. By Lemma 13,

$$\rho_q(u,v) = |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-b}v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-a}v)|.$$

The characterization in Remark 7 shows us that

$$\begin{aligned} B(u) \cap B(v) &= \{(1, \xi^b, \xi^b), (1, \xi^a, \xi^a)\}, \\ B(u) \cap B(\xi^{a-b}v) &= \{(\xi^{a-b}, \xi^a, \xi^b), (1, \xi^a, \xi^{2a-b})\}, \\ B(u) \cap B(\xi^{b-a}v) &= \{(\xi^{b-a}, \xi^a, \xi^b), (1, \xi^{2b-a}, \xi^b)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2a = b$ and $2b = a$, we obtain $\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v) = \emptyset$, $\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-b}v) = \emptyset$, and $\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-a}v) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\rho_q(u,v) = 0$.

Part 2: It is enough to prove that $\rho_q(u,v) \geq 2$ for the following situations:

(i) $u = (1, \xi^a, \xi^b)$, $v = (1, \xi^c, \xi^d)$,

(ii) $u = (1, \xi^a, \xi^b)$, $v = (1, \xi^a, \xi^c)$,

(iii) $u = (1, \xi^a, \xi^b)$, $v = (1, \xi^c, \xi^b)$,

(iv) $u = (1, \xi^a, \xi^b)$, $v = (1, \xi^c, \xi^a)$,

(v) $u = (1, \xi^a, \xi^b)$, $v = (1, \xi^b, \xi^a)$, with $2a \neq b$ or $2b \neq a$,

where the elements $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ are pairwise distinct and non-zero.

Item (i): Here $\rho_q(u,v) = |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-c}v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-d}v)|$. Since $d(u,v) = 2$, it follows by Remark 7 that

$$B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(1, \xi^c, \xi^b), (1, \xi^a, \xi^d)\},$$

which is a subset of $\mathcal{D}_q$, thus $\rho_q(u,v) \geq 2$.

Item (ii): Note that $\rho_q(u,v) = |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-c}v)|$. Apply Remark 7 when $d(u,v) = 1$. Since

$$B(u) \cap B(v) = \{(1, \xi^a, x) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_q\},$$

is a subset of $\mathcal{D}_q$, $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v)| = q - 3$ holds, and $\rho_q(u,v) \geq q - 3$ follows as a consequence.

Item (iii) This case can be proved as an immediate consequence of item (ii) and the concept of $\mathbb{F}_q$-equivalence.

Item (iv) Lemma 13 implies

$$\rho_q(u,v) = |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-c}v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-a}v)|.$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1: if $a-c \neq b-a$. Since $d(u,v)=2$, $d(u,\xi^{a-c}v)=2$, and $d(u,\xi^{b-a}v)=2$, Remark 7 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} B(u)\cap B(v) &= \{(1,\xi^c,\xi^b),(1,\xi^a,\xi^a)\},\\ B(u)\cap B(\xi^{a-c}v) &= \{(\xi^{a-c},\xi^a,\xi^b),(1,\xi^a,\xi^{2a-c})\},\\ B(u)\cap B(\xi^{b-a}v) &= \{(\xi^{b-a},\xi^a,\xi^b),(1,\xi^{b+c-a},\xi^b)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We still need to analyze more two subcases. It is easy to check that $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(v)|=1$ for all subcases below.

Subcase 1.1: If $2a\neq c$, then $(1,\xi^a,\xi^{2a-c})\in\mathcal{D}_q$ and $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-c}v)|\geq 1$.

Subcase 1.2: If $2a=c$, then $(\xi^{b-a},\xi^a,\xi^b)\in\mathcal{D}_q$ and $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-a}v)|\geq 1$.

Therefore $\rho_q(u,v)\geq 2$ in both subcases.

Case 2: if $a-c=b-a$. Here $d(u,\xi^{a-c}v)=1$. By Remark 7,

$$B(u)\cap B(\xi^{a-c}v)=\{(x,\xi^a,\xi^b)\ :\ x\in\mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

Thus $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(v)|=1$ and $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-c}v)|=q-3$, which implies $\rho_q(u,v)\geq q-3$.

Item (v) In this case,

$$\rho_q(u,v)=|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(v)|+|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-b}v)|+|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-a}v)|.$$

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: if $a-b\neq b-a$. Since $d(u,\xi^{a-b}v)=2$ and $d(u,\xi^{b-a}v)=2$, Remark 7 implies

$$\begin{aligned} B(u)\cap B(\xi^{a-b}v) &= \{(\xi^{a-b},\xi^a,\xi^b),(1,\xi^a,\xi^{2a-b})\},\\ B(u)\cap B(\xi^{b-a}v) &= \{(\xi^{b-a},\xi^a,\xi^b),(1,\xi^{2b-a},\xi^b)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 1.1: if $2a\neq b$. The vectors $(1,\xi^a,\xi^{2a-b})$ and (ξ^{b-a},ξ^a,ξ^b) belong to $\mathcal{D}_q$. Hence $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-b}v)|\geq 1$ and $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-a}v)|\geq 1$. It means that $\rho_q(u,v)\geq 2$.

Subcase 1.2: if $2b\neq a$. The vectors (ξ^{a-b},ξ^a,ξ^b) and $(1,\xi^{2b-a},\xi^b)$ belong to $\mathcal{D}_q$. Since $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-b}v)|\geq 1$ and $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{b-a}v)|\geq 1$, the bound $\rho_q(u,v)\geq 2$ holds.

Case 2: if $a-b=b-a$. Because $d(u,\xi^{a-b}v)=1$, Remark 7 implies

$$B(u)\cap B(\xi^{a-b}v)=\{(x,\xi^a,\xi^b)\ :\ x\in\mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

It is easy to check that $|\widetilde{B}(u)\cap\widetilde{B}(\xi^{a-b}v)|=q-3$, and $\rho_q(u,v)\geq q-3$ follows. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Definition 15. Given a prime power q, define

$$\rho(q)=\min\{\rho_q(u,v)\ :\ u,v\in\mathcal{D}_q\}.$$

Example 16. We obtain immediately from Example 11 that $\rho(4)=0$, $\rho(5)\leq 2$ and $\rho(7)=0$.

The parameter $\rho(q)$ is completely determined, according to the next statement.

Theorem 17. *For a prime power q,*

$$\rho(q) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } 3 \text{ divides } q-1, \\ 2 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. **Part 1**: If 3 divides $q-1$, then there is a non-zero $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $3k = q-1$, that is, $3k = 0$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$. Since the vectors $u = (1, \xi^k, \xi^{-k})$ and $v = (1, \xi^{-k}, \xi^k)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 14, the value $\rho_q(u,v) = 0$ holds.

Part 2: If 3 does not divide $q-1$, then there are distinct numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}^*$ such that $2a = b$ and $2b = a$. An application of Proposition 14 yields $\rho_q(u,v) \geq 2$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_q$, that is, $\rho(q) \geq 2$.

Choose an element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ such that $a \neq 0$, $2a \neq 0$ and $3a \neq 0$. We consider the vectors $u = (1, \xi^a, \xi^{2a})$ and $v = (1, \xi^{2a}, \xi^a)$. Thus $\rho_q(u,v) = |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{-a}v)| + |\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^a v)|$, and by Remark 7,

$$\begin{aligned} B(u) \cap B(v) &= \{(1, \xi^{2a}, \xi^{2a}), (1, \xi^a, \xi^a)\}, \\ B(u) \cap B(\xi^{-a}v) &= \{(\xi^{-a}, \xi^a, \xi^{2a}), (1, \xi^a, 1)\}, \\ B(u) \cap B(\xi^a v) &= \{(\xi^a, \xi^a, \xi^{2a}), (1, \xi^{3a}, \xi^{2a})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the vectors $(1, \xi^{2a}, \xi^{2a})$, $(1, \xi^a, \xi^a)$, $(1, \xi^a, 1)$ and (ξ^a, ξ^a, ξ^{2a}) do not belong to $\mathcal{D}_q$. Both vectors $(\xi^{-a}, \xi^a, \xi^{2a})$ and $(1, \xi^{3a}, \xi^{2a})$ belong to $\mathcal{D}_q$. Hence $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(v)| = 0$, $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^{-a}v)| = 1$, $|\widetilde{B}(u) \cap \widetilde{B}(\xi^a v)| = 1$, and consequently $\rho_q(u,v) = 2$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. : Since $\mathcal{E} = \{\widetilde{E}(u) \ : \ u \in \mathcal{D}_q\}$, Corollary 10 reveals that $(q-1)\rho(q)$ is the maximum t such that the family $\mathcal{E}$ is t-intersecting. Thus the computation of $\theta(\mathcal{E})$ is reduced to Theorem 17. □

5 Lower bounds of short coverings

5.1 Necessary conditions for a short covering

Some necessary conditions for a short covering with "few vectors" are established here. For sake this purpose, let $\pi_j(u_1, u_2, u_3) = u_j$ denote the j-th canonical projection of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ into $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $1 \leq j \leq 3$. The symbol $*$ represents an arbitrary element in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Theorem 18. *Given a prime power $q \geq 7$, let $m = \lceil (q+1)/2 \rceil$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_m\}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$. The following conditions hold:*

1. *There must be at least a vector in $\mathcal{H}$ with weight 3.*
2. *For each coordinate j, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, there must be at least a vector $h_k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi_j(h_k) = 0$.*
3. *The set $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$-equivalent to one of the sets:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 &= \{(1,1,1), (0,*,*), (*,0,*), (*,*,0), h_5, \ldots, h_m\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &= \{(1,1,1), (0,*,*), (*,0,0), h_4, \ldots, h_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. **Part 1**: If each vector in $\mathcal{H}$ has weight at most 2, Theorem 5 yields $|\widetilde{E}(h_i)| \leq (q-1)(q-3)$ for every i, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus the set $\mathcal{H}$ is able to cover at most $m(q-1)(q-3)$ vectors of $\mathcal{D}_q$. Because $|\mathcal{D}_q| = (q-1)(q-2)(q-3)$, the set $\mathcal{H}$ is not a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$, when $q \geq 7$.

Part 2: Assume without loss of generality that $\omega(h_1) = 3$. We also suppose $h_1 = (1,1,1)$, by $\mathbb{F}_q$-equivalence. Consider the plane

$$\Pi_1 = \{(0, u_2, u_3) \ : \ u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

and its subset $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{(0, u_2, u_3) \in \Pi_1 \ : \ u_2 \neq u_3 \text{ and } u_2, u_3 \neq 0\}$. The heart of the proof consists in checking that $\mathcal{H}$ is not able to cover (shortly) all the plane Π_1. Since $E(h_1) \cap \mathcal{X}_1 = \emptyset$, the whole set $\mathcal{X}_1$ must be covered by $\{h_2, \ldots, h_m\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\pi_1(h_2) \neq 0, \ldots, \pi_1(h_m) \neq 0$. Each one of the vectors in $\{h_2, \ldots, h_m\}$ covers at most $q-1$ vectors of $\mathcal{X}_1$, thus

$$|[E(h_2) \cup \cdots \cup E(h_m)] \cap \mathcal{X}_1| \leq (m-1)(q-1).$$

From the fact that $m = (q+1)/2$ if q is odd and $m = (q+2)/2$ if q is even,

$$(m-1)(q-1) = \frac{q}{2}(q-1) < (q-1)(q-2) = |\mathcal{X}_1|$$

holds for every $q \geq 5$. Hence $\mathcal{X}_1 \not\subset E(h_2) \cup \cdots \cup E(h_m)$. The statement for the case $j = 1$ is proved. The argument for $j = 2$ and $j = 3$ follows analogously.

Part 3: It is a consequence of both Parts 1 and 2. There is a vector h_1 in $\mathcal{H}$ with $\omega(h_1) = 3$. We also assume $h_1 = (1,1,1)$, by $\mathbb{F}_q$-equivalence. The Part 2 implies that for each coordinate j, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, there must be at least a vector $h_k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi_j(h_k) = 0$. Thus there are three vectors of type $(0,*,*)$, $(*,0,*)$, $(*,*,0)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ or there are two vectors of the type $(0,*,*)$, $(*,0,0)$ in $\mathcal{H}$. The first case yields that $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$-equivalent, while the second case implies that $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$-equivalent. □

5.2 Sketch

The rest of this section is concerned with the computation of lower bounds on $c(q)$, where $7 \leq q \leq 9$. The condition $c(q) > m$ corresponds to the statement: neither of the $\binom{q^3}{m}$ m-subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$, $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the equation (2).

Since the search space is often huge and the extended balls are highly intersecting, it is not so accurate checking Eq. (2) straightforwardly. A sharp approach essentially analyzes the behavior of the extended balls in $\mathcal{D}_q$. A little more precise, the idea is described briefly as follows.

Given q, suppose by absurd that there is a short covering $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_m\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ with $m = \lceil (q+1)/2 \rceil$ vectors. Theorem 18 states that there are only two possibilities for $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is also a short covering of the subset $\mathcal{D}_q$, the condition $\mathcal{D}_q \subset \cup_{i=1}^m \widetilde{E}(h_i)$ holds. On the other hand, if we show that

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{E}(h_i) \right| < (q-1)(q-2)(q-3) \tag{8}$$

then an absurd raises: $\mathcal{D}_q$ is not contained in $\cup_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{E}(h_i)$. For the cases $q = 7$ and $q = 9$, the stronger condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\widetilde{E}(h_i)| < (q-1)(q-2)(q-3) \tag{9}$$

is sufficient to show that (8) is valid.

5.3 New lower bounds

Proposition 19. *We obtain* $c(7) \geq 5$.

Proof. Suppose by a contradiction that $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_4\}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_7^3$. Theorem 18 states that there are only two forms for $\mathcal{H}$, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 &= \{(1,1,1), (0,*,*), (*,0,*), (*,*,0)\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &= \{(1,1,1), (0,*,*), (*,0,0), (*,*,*)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$, then Theorem 5 yields $|\widetilde{E}(h_1)| = 0$ and $|\widetilde{E}(h_i)| \leq 24$ for $i \in \{2,3,4\}$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_1$ covers at most 72 vectors in $\mathcal{D}_7$.

Otherwise, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_2$. Theorem 5 implies that $|\widetilde{E}(h_1)| = 0$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_2)| \leq 24$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_3)| = 0$ and $|\widetilde{E}(h_4)| \leq 60$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_2$ covers at most 84 vectors in $\mathcal{D}_7$.

Since $|\mathcal{D}_7| = 120$, the inequality (9) holds. We conclude that neither $\mathcal{H}_1$ nor $\mathcal{H}_2$ could cover all the space $\mathcal{D}_7$. Thus $c(7) \geq 5$. □

Proposition 20. *The lower bound* $c(9) \geq 6$ *holds.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_5\}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_9^3$. From Theorem 18, the set $\mathcal{H}$ can be $\mathbb{F}_q$-equivalent to only two forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 &= \{(1,1,1), (0,*,*), (*,0,*), (*,*,0), (*,*,*)\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &= \{(1,1,1), (0,*,*), (*,0,0), (*,*,*), (*,*,*)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$, then Theorem 5 yields $|\widetilde{E}(h_1)| = 0$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_i)| \leq 48$ for $i \in \{2,3,4\}$, and $|\widetilde{E}(h_5)| \leq 128$. The set $\mathcal{H}_1$ covers at most 272 vectors of $\mathcal{D}_9$.

If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_2$, Theorem 5 produces $|\widetilde{E}(h_1)| = 0$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_2)| \leq 48$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_3)| = 0$ and $|\widetilde{E}(h_i)| \leq 128$ for $i \in \{4,5\}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_2$ covers at most 304 vectors of $\mathcal{D}_9$.

Since $|\mathcal{D}_9| = 336$, the inequality (9) is satisfied here; neither $\mathcal{H}_1$ nor $\mathcal{H}_2$ is a short covering of $\mathcal{D}_9$. □

The argument for $c(8) > 5$ is a little more intricate than the previous ones, because the inequality (9) does not hold for all candidates $\mathcal{H}$. The search space corresponds to the family of all 5-subsets of $\mathbb{F}_8^3$, with $\binom{8^3}{5} \simeq 2.8 \times 10^{11}$ candidates. Theorem 5 is not enough powerful to deal with all candidates. Therefore we shall apply Theorem 1 too.

Proposition 21. *The bound* $c(8) \geq 6$ *holds.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_5\}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_8^3$. Now, by Theorem 18, we can assume that $\mathcal{H}$ has one of two possible forms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_1 &= \{(1,1,1),(0,*,*),(*,0,*),(*,*,0),(*,*,*)\},\\ \mathcal{H}_2 &= \{(1,1,1),(0,*,*),(*,0,0),(*,*,*),(*,*,*)\}.\end{aligned}$$

An application of Theorem 5 to the case $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$ yields $|\widetilde{E}(h_1)| = 0$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_i)| \leq 35$ for $i \in \{2,3,4\}$, and $|\widetilde{E}(h_5)| \leq 91$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_1$ covers at most 196 vectors in $\mathcal{D}_8$. Since $|\mathcal{D}_8| = 210$, the inequality (9) holds, and thus $\mathcal{H}_1$ could not be a short covering of $\mathcal{D}_8$.

On the other hand, computation of the bounds from Theorem 5 for the case $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_2$ shows us $|\widetilde{E}(h_1)| = 0$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_2)| \leq 35$, $|\widetilde{E}(h_3)| = 0$, and $|\widetilde{E}(h_i)| \leq 91$ for $i \in \{4,5\}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_2$ covers at most 217 vectors in $\mathcal{D}_8$.

Claim: The vectors h_4 and h_5 belong to $\mathcal{D}_8$.

Indeed, if $h_4 \notin \mathcal{D}_8$, Theorem 5 implies $|\widetilde{E}(h_4)| \leq 70$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_2$ covers at most 196 vectors of $\mathcal{D}_8$, and $\mathcal{H}_2$ is not a short covering of $\mathcal{D}_8$, since $|\mathcal{D}_8| = 210$. If h_5 does not satisfy these conditions, we obtain an absurd analogously.

By Theorem 1, $|\widetilde{E}(h_4) \cap \widetilde{E}(h_5)| \geq 14$, and thus $\mathcal{H}_2$ is a short covering of at most 203 vectors of $\mathcal{D}_8$, thus the inequality (8) holds. □

6 Construction of short coverings

6.1 From actions of groups to coverings

A systematical way of finding good short coverings is described in [13, Theorem 1] on the basis of invariant sets under suitable actions. An adaptation of this method is described below.

The standard action of $G = S_3 \times K$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ plays a central role in our results. The set

$$\mathcal{A}_q = \{(u_1,u_2,u_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 \ : \ u_1,u_2,u_3 \text{ are pairwise distinct}\}.$$

is invariant by the action of the direct group $S_3 \times K$, which has two orbits, namely, $\{u \in \mathcal{A}_q \ : \ d(u,0) = 3\}$ and $\{u \in \mathcal{A}_q \ : \ d(u,0) = 2\}$.

Theorem 22. *Let N be a subgroup of S_3 and choose a subset $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$ which is invariant under the action of N, that is, $\mathcal{L}^N = \mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the family of all orbits of the action of $N \times K$ under $\mathcal{A}_q$. Suppose that each orbit of the action of $S_3 \times K$ on $\mathcal{A}_q$ contains an element u which can be written as $u = \lambda h + \mu e_j$ for some $h \in \mathcal{L}$, λ, $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $j \in \{1,2,3\}$. Thus the set $\mathcal{L} \cup \{(1,1,1)\}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary vector v in $\mathbb{F}_q^3$. We analyze two cases.

Case 1: the case where $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 \setminus \mathcal{A}_q$. Here v has at least two coincident coordinates, say $v = (\lambda,\lambda,\mu)$. Thus $v = \lambda(1,1,1) + (\mu - \lambda)e_3$, that is, $v \in E((1,1,1))$.

Case 2: $v \in \mathcal{A}_q$. We show that $\cup_{h \in \mathcal{L}} E(h)$ contains v. Since $v \in \mathcal{A}_q$, there is a vector $u \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $u = v^{\sigma\gamma}$. By hypothesis, $u = \lambda h + \mu e_j$, where $\lambda \neq 0$. By applying σ, we obtain $u^\sigma = \lambda' h + \mu' e_j$. By applying φ, we have $v = u^{\sigma\varphi} = \lambda' h^\varphi + \mu' e_j^\varphi$. Because $\mathcal{L}$ is an invariant set under the action of φ, the required statement is obtained. □

Example 23. The theorem above is optimal for small instances $q = 3, 4, 5, 7$. For example, the sharp bound $c(5) \leq 4$ can be reproved as follows. Choose $\mathcal{L} = \{(0,2,3),(3,0,2),(2,3,0)\}$. Because $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant under the action of the 3-cycle $\varphi : (u_1, u_2, u_3) \mapsto (u_2, u_3, u_1)$, take $N =< \varphi >$ the subgroup generated by φ. The action of $G =< \varphi > \times K$ on $\mathcal{A}_5$ produces five orbits. Since the stabilizer of a vector u is the trivial subgroup, each orbit u^G has twelve elements. Moreover, the representatives are covered by $\mathcal{L}$, as described below

$$\begin{array}{ll} (0,1,2) = 3(0,2,3) + 3e_3 & (0,1,3) = 3(0,2,3) + 4e_3 \\ (0,1,4) = 3(0,2,3) & (1,2,3) = 1(0,2,3) + 1e_1 \\ (1,3,2) = 4(0,2,3) + 1e_1. & \end{array}$$

The bound follows from Theorem 22.

Proposition 24. *The upper bound $c(8) \leq 6$ holds.*

Proof. Choose the vectors

$$\begin{array}{lll} h_1 = (1,1,1), & h_2 = (0,0,\xi^1), & h_3 = (1,\xi^1,0), \\ h_4 = (1,\xi^2,\xi^3), & h_5 = (1,\xi^3,\xi^2), & h_6 = (\xi^6,\xi^5,1), \end{array}$$

where ξ denotes a generator of the group $\mathbb{F}_8^*$. We claim that $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_6\}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_8^3$. In order to apply Theorem 22, choose the group G isomorphic to K.

Take an arbitrary vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{F}_8^3$. We analyze now the case where $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{F}_8^3 \setminus \mathcal{D}_8$. If there are at least two coincident coordinates in u, then u is covered by h_1. If zero appears as a coordinate of u, then u is covered (shortly) by h_2 or h_3.

Otherwise, u belongs to $\mathcal{D}_8$. The representatives of the orbits on $\mathcal{D}_8$ can be chosen as $u = (1, u_2, u_3)$, where $u_2, u_3 \in \{\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3, \xi^4, \xi^5, \xi^6\}$. For the cases where $u_2 \in \{\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3\}$ or $u_3 \in \{\xi^2, \xi^3\}$, a simple look shows us that u is covered (shortly) by the vectors h_3, h_4 or h_5. It remains to analyze the cases $u_2 \notin \{\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3\}$, and $u_3 \notin \{\xi^2, \xi^3\}$, that is, we still need to show that $\mathcal{H}$ is a short covering of the representatives

$$(1,\xi^4,\xi^1),\ (1,\xi^4,\xi^5),\ (1,\xi^4,\xi^6),\ (1,\xi^5,\xi^1),\ (1,\xi^5,\xi^4),\ (1,\xi^5,\xi^6),\ (1,\xi^6,\xi^1),\ (1,\xi^6,\xi^4),\ (1,\xi^6,\xi^5).$$

From the equalities

$$\xi^2(1,\xi^2,\xi^3) = (\xi^2,\xi^4,\xi^5), \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^3(1,\xi^2,\xi^3) = (\xi^3,\xi^5,\xi^6),$$

the vector $h_4 = (1,\xi^2,\xi^3)$ covers $(1,\xi^4,\xi^5)$, and $(1,\xi^5,\xi^6)$. The equality

$$\xi^2(1,\xi^3,\xi^2) = (\xi^2,\xi^5,\xi^4)$$

implies that $h_5 = (1,\xi^3,\xi^2)$ covers $(1,\xi^5,\xi^4)$. From

$$\xi^1(\xi^6,\xi^5,1) = (1,\xi^6,\xi^1), \text{ and } \xi^6(\xi^6,\xi^5,1) = (\xi^5,\xi^4,\xi^6),$$

the vector $h_6 = (\xi^6,\xi^5,1)$ covers $(1,\xi^4,\xi^1)$, $(1,\xi^6,\xi^1)$, $(1,\xi^6,\xi^4)$, $(1,\xi^6,\xi^5)$, $(1,\xi^5,\xi^1)$, and $(1,\xi^4,\xi^6)$.

Therefore $\mathcal{H}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_8^3$. □

Proposition 25. *We obtain* $c(9) \leq 6$.

Proof. Consider the vectors

$$\begin{array}{lll} h_1 = (1,1,1), & h_2 = (1,0,0), & h_3 = (0,1,\xi^4), \\ h_4 = (1,\xi^2,\xi^4), & h_5 = (1,\xi^4,\xi^2), & h_6(1,\xi^6,\xi^6), \end{array}$$

where ξ denotes an generator of $\mathbb{F}_9^*$. Let us show that $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \ldots, h_6\}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_9^3$.

Let u be an arbitrary vector in $\mathbb{F}_9^3$. If $u \in \mathbb{F}_9^3 \setminus \mathcal{D}_9$, then u has at least two coincident coordinates, or zero appears at least in one coordinate of u. In the first case, u is covered by $(1,1,1)$, and u is covered by $(1,0,0)$ and $(0,1,\xi^4)$ in the second.

We suppose now $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathcal{D}_9$. Assume that $u = (1, u_2, u_3)$, where $u_2, u_3 \in \{\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3, \xi^4, \xi^5, \xi^6, \xi^7\}$. If $u_2 \in \{\xi^2, \xi^4, \xi^6\}$ or $u_3 \in \{\xi^2, \xi^4, \xi^6\}$, then u is covered by the vectors $(1,\xi^2,\xi^4)$, $(1,\xi^4,\xi^2)$ or $(1,\xi^6,\xi^6)$.

We need to show that $\mathcal{H}$ is a short covering of the vectors below:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} (1,\xi^1,\xi^3), & (1,\xi^1,\xi^5), & (1,\xi^1,\xi^7), & (1,\xi^3,\xi^1), & (1,\xi^3,\xi^5), & (1,\xi^3,\xi^7), \\ (1,\xi^5,\xi^1), & (1,\xi^5,\xi^3), & (1,\xi^5,\xi^7), & (1,\xi^7,\xi^1), & (1,\xi^7,\xi^3), & (1,\xi^7,\xi^5). \end{array} \tag{10}$$

Note that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \xi^1(0,1,\xi^4) = (0,\xi^1,\xi^5), & \xi^3(0,1,\xi^4) = (0,\xi^3,\xi^7) \\ \xi^5(0,1,\xi^4) = (0,\xi^5,\xi^1), & \xi^7(0,1,\xi^4) = (0,\xi^7,\xi^3), \end{array}$$

thus $h_3 = (0,1,\xi^4)$ covers $(1,\xi^1,\xi^5)$, $(1,\xi^3,\xi^7)$, $(1,\xi^5,\xi^1)$, and $(1,\xi^7,\xi^3)$. The equalities

$$\begin{array}{ll} \xi^1(1,\xi^2,\xi^4) = (\xi^1,\xi^3,\xi^5), & \xi^3(1,\xi^2,\xi^4) = (\xi^3,\xi^5,\xi^7), \\ \xi^5(1,\xi^2,\xi^4) = (\xi^5,\xi^7,\xi^1), & \xi^7(1,\xi^2,\xi^4) = (\xi^7,\xi^1,\xi^3) \end{array}$$

imply that $h_4 = (1,\xi^2,\xi^4)$ covers $(1,\xi^3,\xi^5)$, $(1,\xi^5,\xi^7)$, $(1,\xi^7,\xi^1)$, and $(1,\xi^1,\xi^3)$. Since

$$\begin{array}{ll} \xi^1(1,\xi^4,\xi^2) = (\xi^1,\xi^5,\xi^3), & \xi^3(1,\xi^4,\xi^2) = (\xi^3,\xi^7,\xi^5), \\ \xi^5(1,\xi^4,\xi^2) = (\xi^5,\xi^1,\xi^7), & \xi^7(1,\xi^4,\xi^2) = (\xi^7,\xi^3,\xi^1), \end{array}$$

the vector $h_5 = (1,\xi^4,\xi^2)$ covers $(1,\xi^5,\xi^3)$, $(1,\xi^7,\xi^5)$, $(1,\xi^1,\xi^7)$ and $(1,\xi^3,\xi^1)$.

Because the vectors in (10) are covered by $(0,1,\xi^4)$, $(1,\xi^2,\xi^4)$, or $(1,\xi^4,\xi^2)$, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}$ is a short covering of $\mathbb{F}_9^3$. □

7 Conclusion

We conclude this work with the following contribution to the computation of the function c.

Proof of Theorem 2. It is an immediately consequence of the results in this work. In fact, the lower bound $c(7) \geq 5$ follows from Proposition 19, while the upper bound $c(7) \leq 5$ follows from the inequality (3). The value $c(8) = 6$ is consequence of the Propositions 21, and 24. We obtain from Propositions 20, and 25 that $c(9) = 6$. □

References

[1] R. Ahlswede, and G.O.H. Katona, Contribution to the geometry of Hamming spaces, *Discrete Math.*, 17 (1977), 1–22.

[2] R. Ahlswede, and L. Khachatrian, The complete intersection theorem for systems of finite sets, *European J. Comb.*, 18 (1997), 125–136.

[3] M.V. Burnashev, An extremal property of Hamming half-spaces, *Problems Inform. Transmission*, 29 (1994), 290—291.

[4] É. Czabarka, Intersecting chains in finite vector spaces, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 8 (1999), 509-–528.

[5] P. Erdős, C. Ko, and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 12 (1961), 313-–320.

[6] P. Erdős, E.C. Milner, and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of sets III, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 18 (1974), 22-–40.

[7] P.L. Erdős, Á. Seress, and L.A. Székely, Erdős-Ko-Rado and Hilton-Milner type theorems for intersecting chains in posets. *Combinatorica*, 20 (2000), 27-–45.

[8] I. Honkala, On the intersections and unions of Hamming spheres, *The very knowledge of coding*, Univ. Turku, Turku, (1987), 70–81.

[9] G.O.H. Katona, Intersection theorems for finite sets, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 15 (1964), 329–337.

[10] J. Kónër, On the extremal combinatorics of the Hamming space, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 71 (1995), 112–126.

[11] A.N. Martinhão, and E.L. Monte Carmelo, Short covering codes arising from matchings in weighted graphs, *Math. Comput.*, 82 (2013), 605–616.

[12] E.L. Monte Carmelo, I.N. Nakaoka, and J.R. Gerônimo, A covering problem on finite spaces and rook domains, *Inter. J. Appl. Math.*, 20 (2007), 875–886.

[13] E.L. Monte Carmelo and I.N. Nakaoka, Short coverings in tridimensional spaces arising from sum-free sets, *European J. Combin.*, 29 (2008), 227–233.

[14] I.N. Nakaoka, and O.J.N.T.N. dos Santos, A covering problem over finite rings, *Appl. Math. Lett.*. 23 (2010), 322—326.

[15] O.J.N.T.N. dos Santos, and E.L Monte Carmelo, Invariant sets under linear operator and covering codes over modules, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 444 (2014), 42-–52.

[16] J. Talbot, A new Bollobás-type inequality and applications to t-intersecting families of sets, *Discrete Math.*, 285 (2004), 349-–353.

[17] T. Tsuzuko, *Finite Groups and Finite Geometries*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982).

[18] B. Yildiz, I. Siap, T. Bilgin, and G. Yesilot, The covering problem for finite rings with respect to the RT-metric, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 23 (2010), no. 9, 988–992